WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	United States of America v. Mack Hester Norvell, Jr.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION		
				Case Number:	CR-08-0032-PHX-JAT	
	cordance stablishe		nd 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a) e or both, as applicable.)	(1), a detention hearing has bee	en held. I conclude that the following facts	
X	the de	the defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case				
×	the de	fendant is a seriou	nt is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.			
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	•	. , . , . ,	,	leral offense)(state or local offense that ral jurisdiction had existed) that is	
		a crime	of violence as defined in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offen	se for which the maxim	um sentence is life imprisonmer	nt or death.	
		an offen	se for which a maximur	n term of imprisonment of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in	
		a felony describe	that was committed afted in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	er the defendant had been conv)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	ricted of two or more prior federal offenses or local offenses.	
		device (a	ny that involves a minor as those terms are defir er under 18 U.S.C. §22	ned in section 921), or any other	ession or use of a firearm or destructive r dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on repending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			mitted while the defendant was on release	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142 conviction)(relea	(e)(2)(C): A period of r se of the defendant from	not more than five years has ela m imprisonment) for the offense	psed since the (date of described in finding 1.	
	(4)	will reasonably a	dings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I furt rebutted this presumption.		o condition or combination of conditions inity. I further find that the defendant has	
			Al	ternative Findings		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to belie			e cause to believe that the defer	ndant has committed an offense	
		for which	n a maximum term of im	nprisonment of ten years or mor	e is prescribed in1	
		under 18	3 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a	a), or 2332b.		
		under 18 prescribe		which a maximum term of impr	isonment of 20 years or more is	
		an offen	se involving a minor vic	tim under section	2	
	(2)	The defendant h	as not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding	1 that no condition or combination of equired and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$

Case 2:08-cr-00032-JAT Document 53 Filed 11/18/13 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings					
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.					
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.					
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or					
	danger to the community.					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)					
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: <u>The defendant has continued to use methamphetamine, failed to attend substance abuse treatment, and</u>					
	absconded from supervision and his whereabouts were unknown when the petition to revoke was filed.					
	Therefore, the Court finds that he poses both a risk of danger to the community and a flight risk.					
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
The c	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					
THE	istoricant asses not dispute the information contained in the Frethal Gervices Report, except.					

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:	
The Court incorporates by reference the findin time of the hearing in this matter.	gs of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at th
PART III DIRE	ECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
n a corrections facility separate, to the extent practical bending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a rea order of a court of the United States or on request of a	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody asonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On n attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court
PART IV APPE	EALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
o deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P rom the date of service of a copy of this order or after	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the c., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review.
	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to idian.
DATED this 15 th day of November, 2013.	Bridget & Bade
	⁶ Bridget S. Bade
	United States Magistrate Judge